

Roe 8 West/Roe 9 Planning - Community Workshop

Tuesday 17th January 2023, Hamilton Hill Memorial Hall

This report has been compiled by:

- Dr Courtney Babb, Senior Lecturer at Curtin University School of Design and Built Environment
- The Office of Hon. Dr Brad Pettitt MLC
- Anisha Fernandes da Rocha, Murdoch University intern for the Office of Hon. Dr Brad Pettitt MLC

This submission has been compiled using the ideas and feedback of attendees at the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 participatory planning workshop hosted by the Office of Hon. Dr Brad Pettitt MLC.

Background

In late 2022 the Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (DPLH) announced it was investigating the rezoning of Roe 8 West and Roe 9, a corridor of land that extends westward from the Beeliar Wetlands to Clontarf Hill and has been classified as a road reserve.

Around the same time, DPLH published a draft concept plan for the proposed rezoning area. DPLH stated that, while the draft concept plan does not have any formal status, it: "...has evolved in response to feedback received to date" and "...this consultation process may result in further refinement." (Department of Planning Lands and Heritage, *Roe 8 (West) and Roe 9 Corridor Planning Study - Draft Concept Plan* Frequently Asked Questions).

DPLH hosted three community drop-in sessions in late October and early November to discuss the draft concept plan for the Roe 8 West and Roe 9 corridor. Hon. Brad Pettitt MLC shared the concerns of many community groups, local residents, and other stakeholders about the draft concept plan. Namely, the use of a widely unsupported draft concept plan as the basis for "drop-in sessions" and very limiting and non-consultative approach to community engagement, was most likely to see this draft concept plan merely tweaked, ignoring fundamentally different and substantially better alternatives.

Of further concern was DPLH's assertion that more detailed planning should happen at the subsequent structure planning level to determine more specific local planning zones, reserves, land uses, and any other aspirations or improvements.

This more detail-focused and inherently fragmented structure planning approach means that the opportunities to consider Roe 8 West and Roe 9 as a whole, continuous corridor are likely to be lost and a development outcome that leaves our communities and environment worse off is likely.

For the above reasons, the Office of Hon. Brad Pettitt MLC hosted a participatory planning session that enabled much greater input from the community than the aforementioned "drop-in session" model. The aim of this workshop was to give the local residents, community groups, First Nations Elders, and other stakeholders, who know this corridor better than most, an opportunity to have their views heard and subsequently shared with DPLH. The outcomes of this participatory planning workshop were recorded and compiled into this submission on the *Roe 8 (West) and Roe 9 Corridor Planning Study - Draft Concept Plan*. It is the community's hope that the perspectives in this submission will be earnestly listened to and incorporated into the vision for Roe 8 West and Roe 9.

The workshop was held on Tuesday 17 January 2023 from 6pm-8pm at the Hamilton Hill Memorial Hall, with an estimated 80-95 people in attendance. An Eventbrite event was created for the Roe 9 participatory planning workshop which was advertised on both digital and offline platforms. The workshop was featured in a front-page news story in the *Fremantle Herald* and promoted on Facebook, Instagram, and an online newsletter.

The Office of Brad Pettitt MLC also shared the Eventbrite link with the following community groups and associations to share with their membership:

- Hamilton Hill Community Group
- Cockburn Community Wildlife Corridor
- Save Clontarf Hill
- Friends of Samson Park
- Friends of Manning Park
- Friends of Blackwood Avenue
- Dixon Park Friends
- Coolbellup Community Association
- Cockburn Community Basketball Association
- Friends of Hollis Park
- Randwick Stables Community Garden
- The Hub 6163
- The Wildflower Society (Murdoch branch)

The Office of Brad Pettitt MLC sent direct email invitations to the following Members of Parliament, government departments, Local Government representatives:

- Hon. Rita Saffioti MLA
Minister for Transport and Planning
- The Department of Planning, Lands and Heritage (*via Minister Saffioti's office*)
- Hon. Peter Tinley MLA
Member for Willagee
- Hon. Simone McGurk MLA
Member for Fremantle
- Hon. Stephen Pratt MLC
Member for South Metropolitan
- Hon. Kate Doust MLC
Member for South Metropolitan
- Hon. Sue Ellery MLC
Member for South Metropolitan
- Hon. Klara Andric MLC
Member for South Metropolitan
- Hon. Nick Goiran MLC
Member for South Metropolitan
- Daniel Arndt
Chief of Built and Natural Environment,
City of Cockburn
- Chris Beaton
Head of Sustainability and Environment,
City of Cockburn
- Cr Phoebe Corke
City of Cockburn
- Cr Tarun Dewan
City of Cockburn
- Cr Philip Eva
City of Cockburn
- Mayor Logan Howlett
City of Cockburn

The Office of Brad Pettitt MLC also sent direct email invitations to the following industry groups, academics, and First Nations elders and stakeholders:

- Australian Institute of Landscape Architects
- Conservation Council of Western Australia
- Planning Institute of Australia
- Perth South West Metropolitan Alliance (Natural Resource Management Sub-Group)
- Urban Bushland Council
- WA Forest Alliance
- Dr Hugh Finn
Curtin University
- Katherine Ashe
University of Notre Dame
- Daniel Jan Martin
Researcher and PhD candidate,
University of Western Australia
- Whadjuk Aboriginal Corporation
- Jaye Lee Snowden
City of Cockburn Aboriginal Advisory Group

- Wildflower Society of WA (Conservation Committee)
- Professor Hans Lambers
Beeliar Professors
- Professor Philip Jennings
Murdoch University and Beeliar Professors
- Kay Walley
Hamilton Hill Swamp Aboriginal Heritage Study
- Leonard Collard
Noongar Elder
- Mitchell Garlett
Noongar Elder

Presentations from community

At the start of the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 participatory planning workshop, there were four short presentations from the following community experts:

- Sally Marsh, Cockburn Community Wildlife Corridor (CCWC)
- Sajni Gudka, Hamilton Hill Community Group (HHCG)
- Simone Ruane, Friends of Clontarf Hill
- Cate Tauss, Biodiversity Consultant

Sally Marsh – Cockburn Community Wildlife Corridor (CCWC)

CCWC’s vision is for “a conserved and enhanced bushland corridor that connects Beeliar Wetlands with the Indian Ocean, acknowledging the traditional owners of the land and promoting recognition of their connections to the corridor.” Sally outlined in the presentation that CCWC’s priorities for the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 land are:

- All the existing remnant vegetation should be kept and protected. Degraded areas should be rehabilitated and restored to enhance connectivity, providing an unbroken wildlife corridor for wildlife movement and people access.
- Any built space should be minimal, well-designed and planned in such a way that it does not interfere with the east-west movement of wildlife.
- State and Local governments should recognise both the conservation and human well-being value of an enhanced wildlife corridor as an amazing asset for the City of Cockburn and wider community, and embed those values in all levels of planning.

Sajni Gudka – Hamilton Hill Community Group (HHCG)

HHCG’s objective is to “envison a suburb that has green leafy pedestrian friendly streetscapes, with great connectivity to South Fremantle, North Coogee, and Murdoch.” HHCG supports DPLH’s recommendation for the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 corridor to be amended in the Metropolitan Region Scheme (MRS) from a Primary Regional Road Reservation to a more suitable classification. However, Sajni outlined HHCG’s following priorities for the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 rezoning:

- The community must be consulted on the zoning and any subsequent planning of the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 corridor in a way that is inclusive, deliberative, and allows residents to participate at every point in the consultation.
- CCWC’s vision for a continuous biodiversity and wildlife corridor from the Beeliar Wetlands to the Indian Ocean should be supported and embedded in all levels of planning.

- All 'Residential' zoning should be delivered for the benefit of the local community and natural environment.
- The rezoning should support a network of comfortable, safe, and shaded pathways, bike routes, and connections to public transport that encourages people to choose sustainable transport.
- Developments should be mixed-use to allow people to live, work, and play within their own neighbourhood.
- Any housing should provide affordable housing through innovative land tenure models.
- A light rail track along the length of the corridor should be supported.

Simone Ruane – Friends of Clontarf Hill

Friends of Clontarf Hill aims to retain and rehabilitate Clontarf Hill and the adjacent bushland corridor as a unique park that will form an integral part of the local and regional open space system. In Simone's presentation, she shared that Friends of Clontarf Hill support the following priorities for the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 rezoning:

- Well-designed, sustainable urban infill to address housing shortage.
- The maintenance and regeneration of existing habitat, as well the introduction of new habitat.
- Green spaces that facilitate species diversity and dispersal.
- A green corridor that minimises threats to plants and wildlife and facilitates natural ecological processes.
- Increased opportunities for positive human-nature interactions.

Cate Tauss - Biodiversity Consultant

Cate provided an outline of her research of tuart trees and other significant vegetation in the corridor and more general greening issues in the City of Cockburn.

Workshop methodology

The workshop was designed to gather community sentiment on what type of place they wanted the corridor to be in twenty-five years. Three table discussion sessions focused on establishing key values relating to different place-related themes and identified important places within the corridor associated with these values. Each table had no more than ten participants and a table facilitator.

When participants first arrived at their tables, they were invited to consider four mood boards, containing several images relating to the four workshop themes: community vibrancy and social spaces; parks and environment; transport and mobility; and housing. Each participant was invited to vote for images they would like and not like in the corridor using five red and five green stickers.

The first fifteen-minute discussion session required participants to reflect on what type of place they wanted the corridor to be in twenty-five years, according to the four workshop themes. The aim of this discussion was to encourage participants to focus on general ideas rather than getting tied up in details.

The fifteen-minute second discussion focused specially on the corridor, including the study area considered for rezoning and the surrounding urban areas. Maps were introduced to the table and participants were asked to consider specific places on the maps that related to the themes from the previous discussion. Key places highlighted in the discussion were added to the maps and participants were encouraged to capture their thoughts on post-it notes and add to the map.

In the final fifteen-minute session, participants were asked to develop a short value statement that they could read aloud to the room at the end of the session. Tables were provided with the prompt: “In twenty-five years, the corridor will be...” The purpose of this exercise was to capture the values that had resonated with the groups during the discussions. All tables were asked to read their value statements to the room at the close of session.

A list of attendees who consented to have their names attributed to this submission are listed in Appendix A. A list of facilitators who helped run the workshop and table discussions are listed in Appendix B. Photographs of the raw data collected, by table number, during the workshops is attached in Appendix C.

What type of place does the community want the corridor to be?

Community vibrancy and social spaces

When asked to consider how the rezoning of the areas in question could contribute to the social and cultural capital of Hamilton Hill, workshop participants reached broad consensus and shared strong views on the following matters:

- The rezoning and any potential development should be carried out in consultation with the local community at every step of the process;
- Local Aboriginal heritage and history must be respected, with genuine collaboration between the Department and Noongar People;
- Any potential development should promote a sense of place and create the conditions for more community interaction between all ages and abilities;
- Local businesses, community spaces and housing are integrated into the landscape and represent the local community.

Members of the community also shared mixed opinions over the potential re-development of the Wally Hagan Basketball stadium. During table discussions there were opposing views to a large recreational centre and the additional car park that would be required to accommodate more visitors however, mood board polling (Appendix D) showed a higher than 60% interest in images of a leisure centre and a basketball court. Additionally, a few participants voiced their support for the expansion of local schools, such as Port School and any protective measures to guarantee the viability and longevity of the Randwick Stables.

Furthermore, the results from the mood board polling (Appendix D) indicated support for spaces such as community gardens (96%), farmers markets (90%). The mood board results showed 100% opposition to examples such as Phoenix Shopping Centre and the “satellite” suburb model of development, which is predominant in the City of Cockburn. Table discussions indicated support for small-scale retail in mixed use developments.

Selective quotes from table discussions on community vibrancy and social spaces:

- “Harness and support existing community initiatives rather than re-invent.”
- “Uphold Aboriginal cultural heritage in the consultation process.”
- “Spaces that promote more interaction between people/people and natural environments.”

Parks and environment

The overwhelming majority of workshop attendees expressed that the rezoning of the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 area should consider and support an uninterrupted nature corridor that runs from Bibra Lake to South Fremantle. To achieve this vision, participants favoured the retention of existing vegetation in areas such as Clontarf Hill and Blackwood Avenue bushland, in addition to the regeneration of strategic “pockets” of remanent bushland that would eventually link up to form a continuous and robust corridor of green spaces. Participants also identified other more urban green spaces that could support the realisation of a continuous biodiversity and wildlife corridor including verges and streetscapes, local parks and private gardens.

Participating members shared various opinions on the practical ways to achieve this vision, with priority given to the rezoning of the eastern portion of the road reserve into areas of conservation. Participants expressed their concerns about the possibility that the road reserves at the intersection of Stock Road and Forrest Road and along Blackwood Avenue - which contain a significant portion of remanent bushland with old growth and threatened ecological communities of Tuart trees - could be zoned “urban”, a decision that would, in their view, jeopardize the corridor vision.

When asked to vote on the images on the mood boards (Appendix D), participants indicated 100% support for examples of bush land and were mostly in favour of images of recreational parks that were integrated into the landscape. There was less consensus on the format of sports and playground areas, with opinions equally divided between “in favour” and “against”.

Selective quotes from table discussions on parks and environment:

- “Adjust planning rules to guarantee a continuous vegetation”
- “Set goal to increase habitat to 30% by 2030, in line with the UN SDGs”
- “Support the biodiversity and wildlife corridor vision”

Transport and mobility

There was majority consensus amongst participants against a “car centric” urban design and in favour of alternative modes of transport. Examples given during table discussions included a diverse mix of safer and accessible paths that encourage walking, cycling and e-mobility in general. Other considerations were given to an overall improvement of the public transport connectivity between suburbs and localities, in particular Murdoch University, South Fremantle and Bibra Lake. These improvements, participants said, could be in the form of a light rail or more bus routes. Some discussed their concerns that Main Roads might build a

“flyover” intersection between Stock Road and Blackwood Avenue, a decision that is not desirable from the community’s perspectives.

The mood board polling results (refer to Appendix D) reflected the views shared on transport during table discussions, with 100% approval of light rail and shared e-bikes and 100% rejection of images of a “typical” suburban street/large road with cars. Similarly, images of public transport options such as a bus and a trackless tram received more than 80% of votes.

Selective quotes from table discussions on transport and mobility:

- “Paths that enable walking, cycling and wheelchair access from Bibra Lake to Clontarf Hill and beyond”
- “More shaded and enjoyable mobility”
- “No "flyovers" at major intersections”

Housing

During consultation, many members of the community conveyed significant apprehension over the likelihood that large portions of the corridor would be re-zoned for residential purposes. Their concerns included:

- The current R-codes and the City of Cockburn Local Planning policy set very low sustainability parameters for developers, favouring the “battle axe” model of construction, which is highly opposed by the community;
- Privatising the land would leave it vulnerable to the discretion of developers, further reducing community agency in the process;

Many participants shared that were against any housing at all within the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 corridor. Their reasoning for opposing housing developments included:

- The area that is proposed to be rezoned is very narrow and relatively small, yet it is of immense biodiversity and habitat value for native plants and wildlife. Housing should not be built on areas of such critical environmental value, especially when there are significant vacant lots and opportunities for well-built infill in the suburbs immediately surrounding the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 corridor.
- There has not been adequate independent studies into the impact that housing developments would have on the biodiversity of the narrow corridor.

If housing development were to take place, participants suggested a range of innovative housing models in preference to the “single family - detached dwelling” that prevails in Perth. These models included community land trusts, affordable housing, tiny houses and retention of existing social housing. References were made to existing models that could serve as exemplars, including WGV, OneOneFive Hamilton Hill, and the Forever Project.

Analysis from the mood board polls support a range of housing formats. Some 63% of voters favour medium density housing with shared communal spaces, and 68%, 75% and 83% voted in favour of different images representing medium to high density buildings with biophilic design. The mood board polling results corroborated the views shared during table discussion on housing, with 87%, 88% and 100% of votes against images of high-rise development without shared green spaces, “single family - detached dwelling” and “battle axe” respectively.

Selective quotes from table discussions on housing:

- “Sympathetic design that preserves natural assets and amenity”
- “Up-zone adjacent residential areas to densify next to the corridor rather than in it”
- “Update City of Cockburn Local Planning Policy to include specific parameters for sustainable and affordable housing”

What areas and places in the corridor are important to the community?

The community appreciates the need for a plan that considers individual aspects of urban development, such as housing, transport and social spaces. However, there was strong consensus that any proposed re-zoning should consider the corridor as a whole and be guided by principals of biodiversity and environmental regeneration. Furthermore, all development should be considered within its larger regional context and account for opportunities to improve community and natural amenities in neighbouring suburbs.

Based on the table discussions from the second fifteen-minute workshop discussion, the key places within or surrounding Roe 8 West/Roe 9 that the community wants to be considered in any proposal are the following:

Clontarf Hill

- There was strong support for the protection of Clontarf Hill as it includes significant remanent vegetation.
- There was some support for accessible and shaded walking and cycling paths from Bibra Lake to Clontarf Hill

Randwick Stables

- There was some support for community led spaces such as Randwick Stables being maintained and extended.
- There was some support for the bushland adjacent to Randwick Stables being maintained as a protective curtilage to keep the stables viable.
- There was some support to restore and maintain the wetlands adjacent to Randwick Stables.

Dixon Reserve

- There was strong support from participants to recognise the First Nations heritage and genocide sites within the Dixon Reserve Swamp. This process should be designed by First Nations people for First Nations people.
- There was some support to restore and protect the swamplands in Dixon Reserve.

Blackwood Avenue

- There was strong support to protect the threatened Ecological Community of Tuart Trees and other vegetation along Blackwood Avenue. There was also support to incorporate these Tuart trees into the scope of the EPBC Act.

- There was some support for any housing along Blackwood Avenue to have a small footprint and environmentally sensitive design.
- There was some support to rezone the eastern section of Blackwood Avenue (through to Bibra Lake) to Class A reserve.

Manning Park

- There was strong support to protect the bushland and important ecological habitats at Manning Park, including Manning Ridge.
- There was strong support to incorporate the western side of Manning Park into Bush Forever Site 247.

Intersection of Stock Road and Forrest Road

- There was a demand for greater clarity on the future intersection upgrades at Stock Road and Forrest Road.
- There was some support for more accessible pedestrian infrastructure and greater safety for wildlife, including a potential fauna overpass.
- There was some opposition to a road flyover at the intersection of Storck Road and Forrest Road.

Wheeler Park

- There was some support for protecting the bushland and biodiversity values at Wheeler Park.
- There was some support for environmentally sensitive, medium-density housing surrounding Wheeler Park.

Port School

- There was some support to ensure land is made available for the Port School to ensure its longevity.

A copy of the map that was provided to each table during the workshop can be found in Appendix E. This map has been annotated to visualise areas and places of importance identified by the participants during the workshop.

"In 25 years, the corridor will be..."

For the final task of the community participatory planning session, tables were asked to create a value statement with the following prompts, "In 25 years, the corridor will be..." or "The future corridor will be a place for..." and then share their value statements with the rest of the room.

Key themes that emerged across the room, included value for environmental conservation and protection based on scientific principles; a place that recognises and provides opportunities for people to learn about Noongar history, culture, and sites of significance; and a place with public and active transport options for the community to enjoy and experience the corridor.

Examples of the vision statements from tables:

- “In 25 years, the corridor will be a linked ecological community that provides not only habitat for flora and fauna but a place for people to thrive, learn and enjoy.”
- “In 25 years, the corridor will be an environment and natural habitat that is in better condition than now in 2023.”
- “In 25 years, the corridor will be an internationally recognised, climate positive, living corridor for people, plants and wildlife, guided by cultural principles of community and traditional ecological knowledge.”
- “The future corridor will be a place for re-wilding the “Wetlands to Waves” dreaming.”
- “In 25 years, the corridor will be a safe living classroom as a continuous green corridor.”
- “The future corridor will be a model for future development.”
- “In 25 years, the corridor will be a development that is based on scientific principles and responds to environmental conditions.”
- “The future corridor will be a place for conservation first and foremost.”
- “In 25 years, the community will be grateful for more trees, not more housing.”
- “In 25 years, there will be a shady bush corridor people want to use for active transport, with places to pause and enjoy.”
- “In 25 years, the corridor will be a place to improve mental wellbeing and a sense of connection to nature and community creating a thriving, multigenerational, and connected neighbourhood.”
- “In 25 years, the corridor will be a place to learn from Noongar knowledge.”
- “The future corridor will protect the environment around significant areas through non-negotiable measures.”
- “The future corridor will preserve a wildlife corridor to promote a safe environment for animals and humans.”

Recommendations and next steps

The State Government should proceed with removing Roe 8 West/Roe 9 from the Metropolitan Region Scheme as a road primary regional road reserve. However, the State Government must also commit that DPLH will simultaneously engage in an earnest participatory planning process with local residents, First Nations people, community groups, peak bodies, and other stakeholders to develop a holistic masterplan for the whole of the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 corridor.

The community should be engaged to co-design this masterplan for the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 corridor at every step of the process, including the zoning and any subsequent more detailed planning of the corridor.

Based on the community feedback from the workshop, the masterplan should include:

- Protection of existing bushland and remnant vegetation.
- Rehabilitation of degraded areas to provide an unbroken corridor for wildlife habitat and movement and access for people.
- Use of high-quality urban design to ensure urban areas contribute to the function of the wildlife and biodiversity corridor.
- Creation of great community spaces for recreation and connection.
- A reduction in 'Residential' zoning as outlined in the draft concept plan. The residential zoning that is retained should be sustainable, affordable, diverse, and meets the needs of the local community. Alternatively, this could be a reduction in "urban" zoning through the MRS process with a spine of regional parkland planning along the entire corridor.

Furthermore, there was significant support from the overwhelming majority of workshop attendees to take a more regional approach to the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 rezoning and include considerably more of the surrounding remnant bushland (particularly Manning Park and the intersection of Stock and Forrest Roads) within the scope of this proposal. Protection for and consideration of these areas should also be included in a regional master plan as well as every subsequent level of planning. This would enable a connected, thriving, biodiverse, and culturally sensitive ecological corridor from Bibra Lake to the Indian Ocean.

A copy of this submission will be sent directly to Minister for Planning Hon. Rita Saffioti MLA, Hon. Peter Tinley MLA, Hon. Simone McGurk MLA, Hon. Stephen Pratt MLC, Hon. Kate Doust MLC, Hon. Sue Ellery MLC, Hon. Klara Andric MLC, and Hon. Nick Goiran MLC. A copy of this submission will also be sent directly to all attendees of the workshop as well as all of the community groups, industry groups, associations, First Nations groups, Local Government representatives, and other stakeholders that were invited.

The Office of Hon. Brad Pettitt MLC, in conjunction with the community and other experts, will continue to strongly encourage the State Government to develop a participatory planning model for the Roe 8 West/Roe 9 rezoning that genuinely engages the community at every step of the planning process. The existing draft concept plan should be scrapped to demonstrate the State Government's commitment to developing a plan with genuine community buy-in.

Appendices

Appendix A: [Workshop participants consenting to their name being attributed to this submission](#)

Appendix B: [Workshop facilitators](#)

Appendix C: [Photos of raw data grouped by table number](#)

Appendix D: [Polling results from the mood board exercises](#)

Appendix E: [Map of significant sites identified by workshop participants](#)

Appendix A: Workshop participants consenting to their name being attributed to this submission

Madeleine Antoine	Sue Ellen	Pam Nairn
Helen Archibald	Hugh Finn	Margaret Owen
Felicity Bairstow	Judy Fogarty	Deidre Park
Ana Beaumont	Alana Francas McNee	Adam Peck
Marie Blanco	Josephine Franklyn	Peter Rattigan
Alison Bolas	Kelvin Garlett	Vicki Rees
Kathleen Broderick	Lynn Gauntlett	John Rees
Paul Brooks	Sajni Gudka	Christine Richardson
Tony Bush	Joe Heffernan	Thea Rossen
Maria Cadzow	Tania Heyne	Simone Ruane
Dan Carton	Hermione Hickling	Peta Scorer
Vicki Caulfield	Naomi Howells	Daniela Severi
Dominique Chanovre	Jane Hutchison	Roma Sharp
Robyn Colledge	Rob Jack	Samma Solovey
Sophie Connor	Leah Knapp	Robyn Sosa
Diana Corbyn	Ben Lawver	Anita Staude
Phoebe Corke	Cath Lumsden	Anna Tamburri
Paddy Cullen	San Luu	Catherine Tauss
Jenny Curnow	Lynn MacLaren	Kristine Thomson
Peter Curnow	Sally Marsh	Heddy Tretener
Jozina de Ruiten	Dan Martin	Fern Vallesi
Louis de Villiers	Nicole McGuinness	RJ Walsh
Robert Delves	Ian McKinnon	Jeanne White
Kim Dravnieks	Allan McLeish	Carmen Williams
Christine Duckham	Heidi Mippy	
Kelly Duckworth	Ian Molyneux	

Appendix B: Workshop facilitators

Lead Facilitator:

Dr Courtney Babb

Senior Lecturer, School of Design and Built Environment
Curtin University

Table Facilitators:

- Cr Jenny Archibald
East Ward (City of Fremantle)
- Eleanor Barr
Curtin University Student
- Cr Phoebe Cork
West Ward (City of Cockburn)
- Andrew Williams
Curtin University student
- Anisha Fernandes da Rocha
Murdoch University intern for Hon Dr Brad Pettitt MLC
- Hannah Fitch-Rabbitt
Research Officer for Hon Dr Brad Pettitt MLC
- Cr Ben Lawver
Hilton Ward (City of Fremantle)
- Cr Rachel Pemberton
City Ward (City of Fremantle)
- Emily Robertson
Curtin University student
- Piper Rollins
Communications and Media Advisor for Hon Dr Brad Pettitt MLC